ANNUAL COMPREHENSIVE FINANCIAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022







ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT

23187 Connecticut St, Hayward CA 94545 (510) 783-7744 • www.mosquitoes.org

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA

ANNUAL COMPREHENSIVE FINANCIAL REPORT

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

> PREPARED BY: FINANCE DEPARTMENT

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT Annual Comprehensive Financial Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2022

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

Table of Contents	i
Transmittal Letter	
Directory of Board of Trustees and Executive Staff	
Service Area Map with Cities Served	
Organization Chart	
Certificate of Achievement	X
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	5
Basic Financial Statements:	
Government-wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	10
Statement of Activities	11
Fund Financial Statements:	
Governmental Fund - General Fund Balance Sheet	12
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds – Balance Sheet With the Statement of Net Position	13
Governmental Fund – General Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	14
Reconciliation of the Net Change in Fund Balances – Total Governmental Funds with the Statement of Activities	15
General Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Budget and Actual	16
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	17

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT Annual Comprehensive Financial Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2022

Table of Contents

Required Supplementary Information:

Schedule of the Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios as of the Measurement Date	38
Cost-Sharing Multiple Employer Defined Pension Plan – Schedule of Contributions	.39
Agent-Multiple Employer Defined Benefit Health Care Plan – Schedule of Changes in the Net OPE Liability and Related Ratios	
Agent-Multiple Employer Defined Benefit Health Care Plan – Schedule of Contributions	41

STATISTICAL SECTION

Net Position by Component	
Changes in Net Position	
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds	
Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds	
Capital Assets	
Principal Employers Year 2022 and 2013	
Demographics and Economic Statistics	
Property Tax Levies and Collections	
Full - Time District Employees	55
Largest Secured Taxpayers Year 2022 and 2013	
Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates	
Assessed Value of Taxable Property	
Performance Measures	
Service Requests	60
*	



T: (510) 783-7744 F: (510) 783-3903

acmad@mosquitoes.org

Board of Trustees

President Subru Bhat Union City Vice-President Victor Aguilar San Leandro Secretary Cathy Roache County at Large

Tyler Savage Alameda Preston Jordan Albany P. Robert Beatty Berkeley Shawn Kumagai Dublin Courtney Welch **Emervville** George Young Fremont Elisa Márquez Hayward Steven Cox Livermore Jan O. Washburn Oakland Eric Hentschke Newark Hope Salzer Piedmont **Julie Testa** Pleasanton

Ryan Clausnitzer General Manager December 22, 2022

To the Members of the Board of Trustees and Residents of Alameda County:

We are pleased to present the Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District's (ACMAD) third Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, with the Independent Auditors' Report. This report was prepared by District staff in compliance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and has been audited in agreement with generally accepted auditing standards by Maze & Associates, a firm of independent certified accountants.

Management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of the information presented in this report. As management, we assert, that to the best of our knowledge the information and data presented offers a fair representation of the financial position and operations of the District, and that we have included all disclosures that are essential to enhance the readers understanding of the financial position of the District. The ACFR provides an analysis of ACMAD's financial position, informs the readers about the services ACMAD provides, and shows the financial and demographic trends for the past ten years.

The purpose of the audit was to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements of the District, for the fiscal year that ended June 30, 2022, are free of material misstatements. The independent certified public accounting firm has issued an unmodified (clean) opinion that the District's financial statements were fairly presented in conformity with GAAP. The Independent Auditor's Report is presented as the first component of the financial section of this report.

Following GAAP management provides a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis to accompany the financial statements in the form of the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A). This letter of transmittal is designed to complement the MD&A and should be read in conjunction with it. The District's MD&A can be found immediately following the report of the independent auditors.

Profile of Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District

During late 1928 through early 1929, the city councils of Alameda, Berkeley, Emeryville, Hayward, Oakland, Piedmont, and San Leandro passed a resolution endorsing the formation of ACMAD. Over 32,000 signatures in support of the resolution for establishing ACMAD were collected throughout Alameda County by January 28, 1930. ACMAD was officially formed when the Board of Supervisors passed Ordinance B organizing ACMAD on March 11, 1930; three days later, the Secretary of State of California filed the certificate of incorporation.

i www.mosquitoes.org (Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District) @AlamedaMosquito An Independent Special District Protecting Public Health Since 1930 Initially, ACMAD focused on ditching in marshes to promote water circulation in habitats where salt marsh mosquitoes breed. As salt marsh mosquito abundance decreased, citizens started noticing freshwater mosquitoes around their properties and requested abatement services for relief from those mosquitoes as well. To fulfill the demands for mosquito control throughout the County, personnel and equipment were needed to accommodate the increase in services. ACMAD funding from *ad valorem* property taxes was stable until Proposition 13 passed in 1978. After the passage of Proposition 13, the District's budget was reduced by half. To provide adequate service to Alameda County residents, Measure K was approved during 1982 by over two thirds of Alameda County voters which allowed for a maximum assessment of \$1.75 pers single home as a Special Tax. Around this time, the District consolidated the three depots in Oakland, Pleasanton, and Union City into a single site in Hayward.

West Nile virus (WNV) was introduced via wild birds into Alameda County during 2003, and control for the mosquitoes that transmit this virus increased ACMAD operation costs by \$280,000 a year. ACMAD's Long Range Benefit Committee reviewed methods to increase the District's revenue so that the new public demand for services would be met. Subsequently, the Board of Trustees proposed levying a benefit assessment. Ballots were mailed in the spring of 2008 and the assessment passed with 70.2% approval at the close of voting on April 30, 2008. On May 14, 2008, the ACMAD Board of Trustees passed the resolution approving the benefit assessment.

The approved benefit assessment allows the District to provide enhanced services to the residents of Alameda County while preparing the District for future public health threats caused by mosquitoes. Notably, the District's benefit assessment level has not increased since its passage. While mosquito control techniques, regulations that monitor our activities, and the public sector financial situations have changed in the past 92 years, the District's dedication and effectiveness has not.

As of June 30, 2022, the District is overseen by a Board of Trustees that is comprised of fifteen members. Each City Council within the District and the Board of Supervisors of Alameda County appoint one Trustee. A Trustee serves a two or four -year term and can be reappointed. The Board meets monthly, every second Wednesday, at 5:00pm.

ACMAD's mission is to prioritize improving the health and comfort of the residents of Alameda County and employs 18 full-time staff with an average of four seasonal employees.

Short Term Financial Planning

The District received most of its funding by property taxes and a special tax benefit assessment that are collected by the Alameda County Controller. These funds are made available to ACMAD in April, June, and December of each year.

The District staff is resourceful when it comes to making purchases on behalf of the District. All of our staff take pride in providing mosquito control services to the residents of Alameda County while being frugal with expenses.

Long Term Financial Planning

The District recognizes the importance of adopting policies for financial reserves and reserve funds that adhere to Government Accounting Standards Board guidelines and professional standards/ best practices such as those identified by, but not limited to Government Finance Officers Association. Written and adopted financial policies regarding designated reserves are a critical element of sound short- and long-

term fiscal management. The designation of funds for long-term financial goals is an important element of prudent fiscal management.

The District has a total of six reserve accounts, those accounts being: Vector Control Joint Powers Association: Contingency Fund; Public Agency Retirement Services: Rate Stabilization; California Asset Management Program (CAMP): Repair and Replace; CAMP: Operating reserve; CAMP: Capital reserve, and California CLASS: Public Health Emergency. The Board of Trustees has established a stated target fund level for each of the designated funds. The General Manager performs an annual review and analysis of each of the designated funds that is presented to the Board of Trustees at a public meeting.

Budgetary Control

The District prepares the budget on an annual basis. The budget is reviewed, approved, and modified as necessary by the Board of Trustees, consistent with existing District policies, procedures, and state law.

Strategic Plan

In 2020, the Board of Trustees approved the District's Strategic Plan. The Strategic Plan establishes the long term goals the District has as a whole and the specific goals each department plans to accomplish.

Our Mission

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District is committed to improving the health and comfort of Alameda County residents by controlling mosquitoes and limiting the transmission of mosquito-borne diseases.

Our Vision

To serve all residents of Alameda County in a transparent and equitable manner by providing knowledge-driven and environmentally conscious mosquito control. We strive to provide an exemplary model of good government through fiscal transparency and accountability.

Our Core Values

- Environmental Stewardship
 - Ecologically responsible
 - Proactive in environmental legislation
 - Responsive to environmental changes
 - Emphasis on biorational treatments for mosquito control
- Knowledgeable
 - Science- based decision making
 - Data-driven
 - Technology enabled
 - o Safety program adherent
- Professional
 - o Honest
 - Reliable
 - o Respectful
 - \circ Responsive
 - Committed
 - Inclusionary
 - o Accountable

Our goals for 2021-22

- 1. Ensure ACMAD has the training, equipment, personnel, partnerships, and financial support to limit the introduction of invasive *Aedes* mosquitoes.
- 2. Leverage ACMAD assets towards efficient approaches to mosquito control.
- 3. Employ the best practices for mosquito control districts and local governments.

Awards and Acknowledgements

This is the third year that the District will be submitting the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report to the Governmental Financial Officers Association.

The preparation of this report would not have been possible without the dedicated service of the District staff. We would like to express our gratitude to all employees of the District who assisted and contributed to the preparation of this report. We would like to express our appreciation to the Board of Trustees for their continued support in maintaining the highest standard of professionalism in the management of the District's finances.

Respectfully submitted,

Ryan Qlausnitzer General Manager

Wichene Robins

Michelle Robles Financial & HR Specialist



ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT

Serving the public since 1930

Board of Trustees as of June 30, 2022


Subru Bhat - City of Union City Victor Aguilar - City of San Leandro Cathy Roache - County-at-Large Tyler Savage- City of Alameda Preston Jordan - City of Albany P. Robert Beatty - City of Berkeley Shawn Kumagai - City of Dublin George Young - City of Fremont Courtney Welch - City of Emeryville Elisa Márquez - City of Hayward Steven Cox - City of Livermore Jan O. Washburn - City of Oakland Eric Hentschke - City of Newark Hope Salzer - City of Piedmont Julie Testa - City of Pleasanton


Executive Staff as of June 30, 2022

General Manager Financial & HR Specialist Ryan Clausnitzer Michelle Robles

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT

Service Area Map with Cities Served





Government Finance Officers Association

Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement California

For its Annual Comprehensive Financial Report For the Fiscal Year Ended

June 30, 2021

Christophen P. Morrill

Executive Director/CEO

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

FINANCIAL SECTION



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Trustees Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Hayward, California

Opinions

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and general fund of the Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District (District), California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the Table of Contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and general fund information of the District as of June 30, 2022, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirement relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Responsibility of Management's for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

Auditor's Responsibility for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that Management's Discussion and Analysis and other Required Supplementary Information as listed in the Table of Contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Management is responsible for the other information included in the annual report. The other information comprises the Introductory Section and Statistical Section listed in the Table of Contents, but does not include the basic financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinions on the basic financial statements do not cover the other information, and we do not express an opinion or any form of assurance thereon.

In connection with our audit of the basic financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and consider whether a material inconsistency exists between the other information and the basic financial statements, or the other information otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work performed, we conclude that an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information exists, we are required to describe it in our report.

Maze & Associates

Pleasant Hill, California December 22, 2022

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

This section of Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District's (the District's) basic financial statements presents management's overview and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. We encourage the reader to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the District's basic financial statements, including notes and supplementary information that immediately follow this section, as a whole.

Introduction to the Basic Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's audited financial statements, which are comprised of the basic financial statements. This annual report is prepared in accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and *Management's Discussion and Analysis – for States and Local Governments*. The Single Governmental Program for Special Purpose Governments reporting model is used which best represents the activities of the District.

The required financial statements include the Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements; Statement of Net Position and Governmental Fund Balance Sheet; Statement of Activities and Governmental Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances; and the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances-Budget and Actual-All Governmental Fund Types.

These statements are supported by notes to the basic financial statements. All sections must be considered together to obtain a complete understanding of the financial picture of the District.

The Basic Financial Statements

The Basic Financial Statements comprise the Government-wide Financial Statements and the Fund Financial Statements; these two sets of financial statements provide two different views of the District's financial activities and financial position.

The Government-wide Financial Statements provide a longer-term view of the District's activities as a whole and comprise the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities. The Statement of Net Position provides information about the financial position of the District as a whole, including all of its capital assets and long-term liabilities on the full accrual basis, similar to that used by corporations. The Statement of Activities provides information about all of the District's revenues and all of its expenses, also on the full accrual basis, with the emphasis on measuring net revenues or expenses of the District's programs. The Statement of Activities explains in detail the change in Net Position for the year.

All of the District's activities are grouped into Government Activities, as explained below.

The Fund Financial Statements report the District's operations in more detail than the Government-wide statements and focus primarily on the short-term activities of the District's General Fund and other Major Funds. The Fund Financial Statements measure only current revenues and expenditures and fund balances; they exclude capital assets, long-term debt, and other long-term amounts.

Major Funds account for the major financial activities of the District and are presented individually. Major Funds are explained below.

The Government-wide Financial Statements

Government-wide Financial Statements are prepared on the accrual basis, which means they measure the flow of all economic resources of the District as a whole.

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities present information about the following:

<u>Governmental Activities</u> – The District's basic services are considered to be governmental activities. These services are supported by general District revenues such as taxes, and by specific program revenues such as user fees and charges.

Fund Financial Statements

The Fund Financial Statements provide detailed information about each of the District's most significant funds, called Major Funds. The concept of Major Funds, and the determination of which are Major Funds, was established by GASB Statement No. 34 and replaces the concept of combining like funds and presenting them in total. Instead, each Major Fund is presented individually; the District has no Non-major Funds. Major Funds present the major activities of the District for the year and may change from year-to-year as a result of changes in the pattern of the District's activities.

In the District's case, the General Fund is the only Major Governmental Fund.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements are prepared on the modified accrual basis, which means they measure only current financial resources and uses. Capital assets and other long-lived assets, along with long-term liabilities, are not presented in the Governmental Fund Financial Statements.

Comparisons of Budget and Actual financial information are presented for the General Fund.

Fiduciary Funds

Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the District's own programs.

Analyses of Major Funds

Governmental Funds

General Fund revenues decreased by \$211,121 this fiscal year.

General Fund expenditures were \$4,040,063, an increase of \$194,797 from the prior year.

Governmental Activities

	Table <u>Governmental</u>	-		
		Governmental A	Activities	
	2	022	202	21
Assets				
Current and other assets	\$	11,804,955	\$	10,699,709
Capital assets, non-depreciable		61,406		61,406
Capital assets, depreciable		2,134,008		2,331,620
Net OPEB asset		1,225,311		2,522,763
Total assets		15,225,680		15,615,498
Deferred outflows of resources		1,699,836		936,411
Liabilities				
Current liabilities		337,665		394,994
Long-term liabilities		2,034,280		3,603,091
Total liabilities		2,371,945		3,998,085
Deferred inflows of resources		2,988,264		1,463,297
Net Position				
Net investment in capital assets		2,195,414		2,393,026
Unrestricted		9,369,893		8,697,501
Total net position	\$	11,565,307	\$	11,090,527

The District's governmental net position amounted to \$11,565,307 as of June 30, 2022, an increase of \$474,780 from 2021. The District's net position as of June 30, 2022, is comprised of the following:

- Cash and investments of \$11,804,955
- Capital assets of \$2,195,414 net of depreciation charges, which includes all the District's capital assets used in governmental activities.
- Net OPEB assets of \$1,225,311 a decrease of \$1,297,452 from \$2,522,763.
- Liabilities, including accounts payable of \$136,641, compensated absences of \$201,024, and net pension liability of \$2,034,280.
- Net investment in capital assets of \$2,195,414 representing the District's investment in capital assets used in Governmental Activities, net of amounts borrowed to finance that investment. The District has no long-term debt.

• Unrestricted net position, the part of net position that can be used to finance day-to-day operations without constraints established by debt covenants or other legal requirements or restrictions. The District had \$9,369,893 of unrestricted net position as of June 30, 2022.

The Statement of Activities presents program revenues and expenses and general revenues in detail. All of these are elements in the Changes in Governmental Net Position summarized in the following table.

Table 2Changes in Governmental Net Position

	Governmental Activities		
	2022	2021	
Expenses			
Mosquito control	\$ 4,720,653	\$ 4,058,027	
Total expenses	4,720,653	4,058,027	
General Revenues			
Property Taxes	2,759,272	2,624,188	
Redevelopment distributions	401,310	364,485	
Special assessments	1,988,520	1,962,192	
Governmental aid (Homeowners Property Tax Relief,			
State Subvention)	15,846	15,854	
Miscellaneous	256,218	203,268	
Interest income	(225,733)	236,567	
Total revenues	5,195,433	5,406,554	
Change in net position	474,780	1,348,527	
Net position, beginning of year	11,090,527	9,742,000	
Net position, end of year	\$ 11,565,307	\$ 11,090,527	

As Table 2 above shows, all the District's fiscal year 2022 governmental revenue of \$3,206,913 came from general revenues such as taxes and interest.

General revenues are not allocable to programs. General revenues are used to pay for the net cost of governmental programs.

The District received \$2,759,272 in property taxes, \$459,272 more than was expected. Although the District was expecting Interest in the amount of \$30,000, due to the current market rate we experienced a loss of \$34,799. The District's total Expenditures were \$4,040,063, \$234,961 less than expected.

Capital Assets

Detail on capital assets and current year additions can be found in Note 4.

Debt Administration

The District currently does not utilize long-term debt to fund operations or growth.

Economic Outlook and Major Initiatives

The District's financial position continues to be more than adequate. Financial planning is based on specific assumptions from recent trends in property values, State of California economic forecasts and historical growth patterns in the various communities served by the District.

The District continues to face increases in salaries, benefits, fund, pesticides, and insurance. There are also calls for changes in property tax allocation from State control to more local control.

Contacting the District Financial Management

This Annual Comprehensive Financial Report is intended to provide citizens, taxpayers, and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances. Questions regarding this report should be directed to: General Manager of Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District, 23187 Connecticut Street, Hayward, CA, 94545.

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2022

ASSETS Current assets: Cash and investments (Note 3) S10,176,552 Restricted Cash and investments (Note 3) Total current assets Non current assets: Capital assets, depreciable (Note 4) Capital assets Capital Ca		Governmental Activities
Cash and investments (Note 3)\$10,176,552Restricted Cash and investments (Note 3)1,628,403Total current assets11,804,955Non current assets:61,406Capital assets, depreciable (Note 4)61,406Capital assets, depreciable, net of accumulated depreciation (Note 4)2,134,008Net OPEB asset (Note 8)1,225,311Total non current assets3,420,725Total Assets15,225,680DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES822,206Pension related (Note 7)822,206OPEB related (Note 8)1,699,836LIABILITIES1,699,836Current liabilities:337,665Non current liabilities:2,034,280Total current liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,034,280Total Deferred Inflows of Resources1,046,869Total Liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,034,280Total Deferred Inflows of Resources2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 7)1,941,395OPEB related (Note 7)1,941,395OPEB related (Note 7)1,941,395OPEB related (Note 7)2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 2J)Net investment in capital assetsNet investment in capital assets2,195,4149,369,8933	ASSETS	
Non current assets: Capital assets, nondepreciable (Note 4) Capital assets, depreciable, net of accumulated depreciation (Note 4) Net OPEB asset (Note 8) 1,225,311 Total non current assets 3,420,725 Total Assets 15,225,680 DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES Pension related (Note 7) 0PEB related (Note 8) 16,099,836 LIABILITIES Current liabilities: Accounts payable Compensated absences (Note 2F) 201,024 Total current liabilities: 2,034,280 Total Liabilities: 2,034,280 Total Liabilities 2,371,945 DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES Pension related (Note 7) 1,941,395 OPEB related (Note 7) 1,941,395 OPEB related (Note 7) 1,941,395 OPEB related (Note 8) 1,046,869 Total Deferred Inflows of Resources 2,988,264 NET POSITION (Note 2J) Net investment in capital assets 2,195,414 Unrestricted	Cash and investments (Note 3)	
Capital assets, nondepreciable (Note 4) 61,406 Capital assets, depreciable, net of accumulated depreciation (Note 4) 2,134,008 Net OPEB asset (Note 8) 1,225,311 Total non current assets 3,420,725 Total Assets 15,225,680 DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES 822,206 Pension related (Note 7) 822,206 OPEB related (Note 8) 1,699,836 LIABILITIES 136,641 Compensated absences (Note 2F) 201,024 Total current liabilities: 337,665 Non current liabilities 2,034,280 Total non current liabilities 2,034,280 Total non current liabilities 2,034,280 Total non current liabilities 2,371,945 DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES 2,034,280 Pension related (Note 7) 1,941,395 OPEB related (Note 7) 1,941,395 OPEB related (Note 7) 2,988,264 NET POSITION (Note 2J) Net investment in capital assets 2,195,414 Net investment in capital assets 2,195,414 9,369,893	Total current assets	11,804,955
Total Assets15,225,680DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES822,206Pension related (Note 7)822,206OPEB related (Note 8)877,630Total Deferred Outflows of Resources1,699,836LIABILITIES136,641Current liabilities:201,024Accounts payable136,641Compensated absences (Note 2F)201,024Total current liabilities:337,665Non current liabilities:2,034,280Total non current liabilities2,034,280Total non current liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,371,945DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES1,941,395Pension related (Note 7)1,941,395OPEB related (Note 8)1,046,869Total Deferred Inflows of Resources2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 2J)Net investment in capital assets2,195,414Unrestricted9,369,893	Capital assets, nondepreciable (Note 4) Capital assets, depreciable, net of accumulated depreciation (Note 4) Net OPEB asset (Note 8)	2,134,008 1,225,311
Pension related (Note 7)822,206 877,630OPEB related (Note 8)1,699,836LIABILITIES1Current liabilities: Accounts payable136,641 201,024Compensated absences (Note 2F)201,024Total current liabilities337,665Non current liabilities: Net pension liability (Note 7)2,034,280 2,034,280Total non current liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,371,945DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES1,046,869Pension related (Note 7)1,941,395 1,046,869Total Deferred Inflows of Resources2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 2J)1Net investment in capital assets 9,369,8932,195,414 9,369,893	Total Assets	
OPEB related (Note 8)877,630Total Deferred Outflows of Resources1,699,836LIABILITIESCurrent liabilities: Accounts payable136,641Compensated absences (Note 2F)201,024Total current liabilities337,665Non current liabilities: Net pension liability (Note 7)2,034,280Total non current liabilities2,034,280Total non current liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,371,945DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES1,941,395Pension related (Note 7)1,941,395OPEB related (Note 8)1,046,869Total Deferred Inflows of Resources2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 2J)Net investment in capital assets 9,369,893	DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
LIABILITIES Current liabilities: Accounts payable Compensated absences (Note 2F) Compensated absences (Note 7) Compensated absences Non current liabilities Compensated absences Compensated absences DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES Pension related (Note 7) Compensated (Note 7) Compensated absences Compensate		
Current liabilities:136,641Accounts payable201,024Compensated absences (Note 2F)201,024Total current liabilities337,665Non current liabilities:2,034,280Total non current liabilities2,034,280Total non current liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,371,945DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCESPension related (Note 7)1,941,395OPEB related (Note 8)1,046,869Total Deferred Inflows of Resources2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 2J)1,95,414Net investment in capital assets2,195,4149,369,8932,195,414	Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	1,699,836
Accounts payable136,641Compensated absences (Note 2F)201,024Total current liabilities337,665Non current liabilities: Net pension liability (Note 7)2,034,280Total non current liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,371,945DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCESPension related (Note 7)1,941,395OPEB related (Note 8)1,046,869Total Deferred Inflows of Resources2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 2J)2,195,414Net investment in capital assets2,195,4149,369,8932,195,414	LIABILITIES	
Net pension liability (Note 7)2,034,280Total non current liabilities2,034,280Total Liabilities2,371,945DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCESPension related (Note 7)1,941,395OPEB related (Note 8)1,046,869Total Deferred Inflows of Resources2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 2J)Xet investment in capital assets2,195,414Unrestricted9,369,893	Accounts payable Compensated absences (Note 2F)	201,024
Total Liabilities2,371,945DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES2,371,945Pension related (Note 7) OPEB related (Note 8)1,941,395 1,046,869Total Deferred Inflows of Resources2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 2J)2,195,414 9,369,893		2,034,280
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES Pension related (Note 7) OPEB related (Note 7) Total Deferred Inflows of Resources 2,988,264 NET POSITION (Note 2J) Net investment in capital assets 2,195,414 Unrestricted 9,369,893	Total non current liabilities	2,034,280
Pension related (Note 7)1,941,395OPEB related (Note 8)1,046,869Total Deferred Inflows of Resources2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 2J)2,195,414Net investment in capital assets2,195,414Unrestricted9,369,893	Total Liabilities	2,371,945
OPEB related (Note 8)1,046,869Total Deferred Inflows of Resources2,988,264NET POSITION (Note 2J)2,195,414Net investment in capital assets2,195,414Unrestricted9,369,893	DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
NET POSITION (Note 2J) Net investment in capital assets Unrestricted 9,369,893		
Net investment in capital assets2,195,414Unrestricted9,369,893	Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	2,988,264
Unrestricted 9,369,893	NET POSITION (Note 2J)	
Total Net Position \$11,565,307		
	Total Net Position	\$11,565,307

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

	- -	Program Revenues Charges for	Net (Expense) Revenue and Change in Net Position Governmental
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Services	Activities
Governmental Activities:			
District operations	\$4,720,653	\$1,988,520	(\$2,732,133)
Total Governmental Activities	\$4,720,653	\$1,988,520	(2,732,133)
General revenues: Property taxes Redevelopment agency property tax inc Government aid tax Interest Interest Miscellaneous	rement		2,759,272 401,310 15,846 (4,799) (220,934) 256,218
Total General Revenues			3,206,913
Change in Net Position			474,780
Net Position - Beginning			11,090,527
Net Position - Ending			\$11,565,307

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT GOVERNMENTAL FUND - GENERAL FUND BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2022

ASSETS

Cash and investments (Note 3) Restricted Cash and investments (Note 3)	\$10,176,552 1,628,403
Total Assets	\$11,804,955
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	\$136,641
Total Liabilities	136,641
FUND BALANCES	
Assigned for capital projects Assigned for dry period cash	4,667,326 7,000,988
Total Fund Balances	11,668,314
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$11,804,955

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT Reconciliation of the GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS - BALANCE SHEET with the STATEMENT OF NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2022

Total fund balances reported on the governmental funds balance sheet	\$11,668,314
Amounts reported for Governmental Activities in the Statement of Net Position are different from those reported in the Governmental Funds above because of the following:	
CAPITAL ASSETS	
Capital assets used in Governmental Activities are not current assets or financial	
resources and therefore are not reported in the Governmental Funds.	2,195,414
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS AND INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Deferred outflows of resources - pension related	822,206
Deferred outflows of resources - OPEB related	877,630
Deferred inflows of resources - pension related	(1,941,395)
Deferred inflows of resources - OPEB related	(1,046,869)
LONG TERM ASSETS AND LIABILITIES	
The assets and liabilities below are not due and payable in the current period and	
therefore are not reported in the Funds:	
Current portion of compensated absences	(201,024)
Collective net pension liability	(2,034,280)
Net OPEB asset	1,225,311
NET POSITION OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES	\$11,565,307

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT GOVERNMENTAL FUND - GENERAL FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

REVENUES	
Property taxes	\$2,759,272
Redevelopment agency property tax increment	401,310
Special assessments	1,988,520
Government aid tax (Homeowners Property Tax Relief, State Subvention)	15,846
Interest	(4,799)
Interest - PARS	(220,934)
Miscellaneous	256,218
Total Revenues	5,195,433
EXPENDITURES	
Salaries and fringe benefits	3,107,470
Materials, supplies and services	932,593
Total Expenditures	4,040,063
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	1,155,370
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	10,512,944
ENDING FUND BALANCE	\$11,668,314

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT Reconciliation of the NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES - TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS with the STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

The schedule below reconciles the Net Changes in Fund Balances reported on the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance, which measures only changes in current assets and current liabilities on the modified accrual basis, with the Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities reported in the Statement of Activities, which is prepared on the full accrual basis.

NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES - TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	\$1,155,370
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because of the following:	
CAPITAL ASSETS TRANSACTIONS	
Governmental Funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities the cost of those assets is capitalized and allocated	
over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense.	
Acquisition of capital assets are added back to fund balance	36,910
Depreciation expense reduces fund balance	(234,522)
NON-CURRENT ITEMS	
The amounts below included in the Statement of Activities do not provide or (require) the use of	
current financial resources and therefore are not reported as revenue or expenditures in	
governmental funds (net change):	5.005
Compensated absences	7,205
Pension expense, net of deferred inflows and outflows	(278,187)
Salary and benefit expenses related to OPEB	(211,996)
CHANGE IN NET POSITION OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES	\$474,780

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT GENERAL FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

REVENUES	Original & Final Budget	Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
Dromouth, towar	\$2,300,000	\$2,759,272	\$450.070
Property taxes	\$2,500,000		\$459,272
Redevelopment agency property tax increment	1 021 (00	401,310	401,310
Special assessments	1,821,600	1,988,520	166,920
Governmental aid-		15.046	15.046
(Homeowners Property Tax Relief, State Subvention)	• • • • •	15,846	15,846
Interest	30,000	(4,799)	(34,799)
Interest - PARS		(220,934)	(220,934)
Miscellaneous	194,913	256,218	61,305
Total Revenues	4,346,513	5,195,433	848,920
EXPENDITURES			
Salaries and fringe benefits	3,097,836	3,107,470	(9,634)
Materials, supplies and services	1,118,188	932,593	185,595
Capital outlay	59,000		59,000
Total Expenditures	4,275,024	4,040,063	234,961
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES			
OVER REVENUES	\$71,489	1,155,370	\$1,083,881
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE		10,512,944	
ENDING FUND BALANCE		\$11,668,314	

NOTE 1 – GENERAL

The Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District (the District) is a special district established in 1930 and empowered to take all necessary and proper steps for the control of mosquitoes within the District's service area.

The District evaluated whether any other entity should be included in these basic financial statements. The basic, but not the only, criterion for including a governmental department, agency, institution, commission, public authority, or other governmental organization in a governmental unit's reporting entity for general purpose financial reports is the ability of the governmental unit's elected officials to exercise oversight responsibility over such agencies. Oversight responsibility implies that one governmental unit is dependent on another and that the dependent unit should be reported as part of the other. Oversight responsibility is derived from the governmental unit's power and includes, but is not limited to:

- Financial interdependency
- Selection of governing authority
- Designation of management
- Ability to significantly influence operations
- Accountability for fiscal matters

Based upon the above criteria, the District determined that it had no component units as of June 30, 2022, or during the fiscal year then ended.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Accounts and Records

The District maintains a cash receipts journal for recording fees collected at 23187 Connecticut Street, Hayward, CA 94545. The assessment of property, collection of taxes, disbursement of cash, and the maintenance of the general ledger for all funds are provided by the County of Alameda. Custodianship of the District's accounts and records is vested with the Auditor Controller of Alameda County (the County).

B. Accounting Principles

The District accounts for its financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures recommended by the State of California. The accounting policies of the District conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).

1) Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements:

The Government-wide Financial Statements (the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) report on the District as a whole. The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of the District's functions are offset by program revenues.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with the District's functions. Program revenues include charges for services. Other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues. The District's General Fund is presented as a separate financial statement (Balance Sheet and the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance).

2) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation:

Government-wide Financial Statements

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities are prepared using the *economic resources* measurement focus and the *accrual* basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenues as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Fund Financial Statements

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both *measurable and available*. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the District considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting.

The District reports the following major governmental fund:

General Fund: This is the general operating fund of the District. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. There are no other funds of the District.

3) Use of Estimates:

The process of preparing the basic financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires the use of estimates and assumptions regarding certain types of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenditures/ expenses. Such estimates primarily relate to unsettled transactions and events as of the date of the basic financial statements. Accordingly, upon settlement, actual results may differ from estimated amounts.

C. Budget and Budgetary Accounting

The District annually adopts a budget for its General Fund to be effective July 1 for the ensuing fiscal year. The District's Board may amend the budget by resolution during the fiscal year and approves all budgetary transfers.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

D. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The District categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy categorizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels based on the extent to which inputs used in measuring fair value are observable in the market.

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 inputs are inputs – other than quoted prices included within level 1 – that are observable for an asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for an asset or liability.

If the fair value of an asset or liability is measured using inputs from more than one level of the fair value hierarchy, the measurement is considered to be based on the lowest priority level input that is significant to the entire measurement.

E. Capital Assets

Capital assets are those purchased or acquired with an original cost of \$5,000 or more and are reported at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Contributed assets and similar items are reported at acquisition value as of the date received. Capital assets received in a service concession arrangement will be reported at acquisition value. Additions, improvements, and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the assets or materially extend the asset's lives are not capitalized, but are expensed as incurred.

Depreciation on all capital assets is computed using a straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

<u>Class</u>	Estimated Useful Life in Years
Land	Not Depreciable
Structures and Improvements	5 - 39
Machinery and Equipment	5 - 7

F. Compensated Absences

All vacation pay is accrued when incurred. Employee compensated absences hours are capped at 400 hours. It is typical for compensated absences to be used within a year so it is shown as all current. Compensated absences for the year ended June 30, 2022 was \$201,024.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

G. Pensions, Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District's California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plans (Plans) and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

H. OPEB Liabilities, OPEB Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's OPEB Plan and additions to/deductions from the OPEB Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PARS Trust. For this purpose, benefit payments are recognized when currently due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

I. Fund Equity

The accompanying basic financial statements reflect certain changes that have been made with respect to the reporting of the components of fund balances for governmental funds. In previous years, fund balances for governmental funds were reported in accordance with previous standards that included components for reserved fund balance, unreserved fund balance, designated fund balance, and undesignated fund balance. Due to the implementation of GASB Statement No. 54, the components of the fund balances of governmental funds now reflect the component classifications described below. In the fund financial statements, governmental fund balances are reported in the following classifications:

<u>Nonspendable</u> fund balance includes amounts that are not in a spendable form, such as prepaid items or supplies inventories, or that are legally or contractually required to remain intact, such as principal endowments.

<u>Restricted</u> fund balance includes amounts that are subject to externally enforceable legal restrictions imposed by outside parties (i.e., creditors, grantors, contributors) or that are imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

<u>Committed</u> fund balance includes amounts whose use is constrained by specific limitations that the government imposes upon itself, as determined by a formal action of the highest level of decision making authority. The Board of Trustees serves as the District's highest level of decision-making authority and has the authority to establish, modify or rescind a fund balance commitment via minutes action.

<u>Assigned</u> fund balance includes amounts intended to be used by the District for specific purposes, subject to change, as established either directly by the Board of Trustees or by management officials to whom assignment authority has been delegated by the Board of Trustees.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

<u>Unassigned</u> fund balance is the residual classification that includes spendable amounts in the General Fund that are available for any purpose.

When expenditures are incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned) fund balances are available, the District specifies that restricted revenues will be applied first. When expenditures are incurred for purposes for which committed, assigned or unassigned fund balances are available, the District's policy is to apply committed fund balance first, then assigned fund balance, and finally unassigned fund balance.

J. Net Position

GASB Statement No. 34 added the concept of Net Position. The Statement of Net Position reports the difference between the District's total assets and the District's total liabilities, including all the District's long-term assets and debt. The Statement of Net Position presents similar information to the old balance sheet format but presents it in a way that focuses the reader on the composition of the District's net position, by subtracting total liabilities from total assets.

The Statement of Net Position breaks out net position as follows:

Net investment in capital assets, describes the portion of net position that is represented by the current net book value of the District's capital assets, less the outstanding balance of any debt issued to finance these assets.

Restricted describes the portion of net position that is restricted as to use by the terms and conditions of agreements with outside parties, governmental regulations, laws, or other restrictions that the District cannot unilaterally alter.

Unrestricted describes the portion of net position that is not restricted from use.

K. Leases

A lease is defined as a contract that conveys control of the right to use another entity's nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) as specified in the contract for a period of time in an exchange or exchange-like transaction. Examples of nonfinancial assets include buildings, land, vehicles and equipment.

The District will record material leases under GASB 87, *Leases*, as required. For fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District does not have any leases that meet the requirements to be recorded.

NOTE 3 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments consisted of the following as of June 30, 2022:

	Carrying Value
Cash and Investments:	
Pooled cash with County Treasury	\$397,967
California Local Agency Investment Fund	4,986,679
Cash with VCJPA	351,141
Cash in Bank of America	157,965
CAMP	3,834,617
Cash in Bank of the West	448,183
Sub-total	10,176,552
Restricted Cash and Investments:	
PARS (Moderately Conservative Highmark Plus)	1,628,403
Total Cash and Investments	\$11,804,955

Investments are reported at fair value. The District adjusts the carrying value of its investments, if material, to reflect their fair value at the fiscal year end and includes the effect of these adjustments in income for that fiscal year. Investments in equity securities, corporate bonds and issues of the U.S. Government are valued at the last reported sales price of the fiscal year end. International securities reflect current exchange rates in effect at the fiscal year end. Purchases and sales of securities are recorded on a trade date basis.

A. Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits

For investments, custodial risk is the risk that in the event of failure of a depository financial institution or a counter party (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, the District will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The District's PARS Trust is held by a third-party custodian, U.S. Bank. Securities held on the District's behalf by its third-party custodian are not at risk or commingled with other entities' securities, therefore removing the custodial risk for the District.

California Law requires banks and savings and loan associations to pledge government securities with a market value of 110% of the District's cash on deposit or first trust deed mortgage notes with a value of 150% of the deposit as collateral for these deposits. Under California Law, this collateral is held in the District's name and places the District ahead of general creditors of the institution.

B. Investments

The District's cash and investments with the County Treasurer are invested under policy guidelines established by the County. Credit risk information regarding the cash held by the Treasurer is included in the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report of the County of Alameda.

The credit risk regarding the investment in the Vector Control Joint Powers Agency (VCJPA) is included in the financial statements of the Vector Control Joint Powers Agency which can be obtained at vcjpa.org.

NOTE 3 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

LAIF – The District voluntarily participates in LAIF (Local Agency Investment Fund), regulated under Section 16429 of the State Government Code. LAIF allows local agencies such as the District to participate in a Pooled Money Investment Account managed by the State Treasurer Office and overseen by the Pooled Money Investment Board and the State Treasurer Investment Committee. A Local Agency Investment Advisory Board oversees LAIF. The fair value of the District's position in the pool is the same as the value of its pool shares. The total amount invested by all public agencies in LAIF as of June 30, 2022 was approximately \$35.8 billion. The District had a contractual withdrawal value of \$4,986,679 in LAIF. At June 30, 2022, these investments matured in an average of 311 days.

CAMP – The District voluntarily participates in CAMP (California Asset Management Trust). The Trust is organized and operated in a manner and in accordance with objectives and policies intended to preserve principal, provide liquidity so that Shareholders will have ready access to their pooled funds, provide a high level of current income consistent with preserving principal and maintaining liquidity, and place investments, document investment transactions, in respect to the investment of debt issuances, and account for funds in a manner that is in compliance with the arbitrage rebate and yield restrictions requirements as set forth in the Internal Revenue Code and related U.S. Treasury regulations. The Trust's Investment Advisor currently invests available cash in the Pool, in a limited list of investments authorized by California Government Code Section 53601. The District reports its investments in CAMP at the fair value amounts provided by CAMP, which is the same as the value of the pool share. At June 30, 2022, these investments matured in an average of 28 days. As of June 30, 2022, the investments had an ending balance of \$3,834,617.

PARS – The District established a Section 115 Pension Trust Fund with Public Agency Retirement Services (PARS). PARS, the trust administrator, partners with U.S. Bank and Highmark Capital Management to provide trustee and investment advisory services, respectively. This trust was established to set aside moneys to meet the District's future pension contributions or unfunded liabilities. The fair value on the account at June 30, 2022 was \$1,628,403. Financial statements of PARS may be obtained from PARS, 4350 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 100, Newport Beach, CA 92660.

C. Custodial Credit Risk – Investments

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligations to the holder of the investment. The Trust's investment policy requires all securities to be held by a third party custodian designated by the Trust's Board. The investment policy also provides that this risk be mitigated by investing in investment grade securities and by diversifying the investment portfolio. As of June 30, 2022, no investments were exposed to custodial credit risk.

D. Concentration of Credit Risk

The Trust's investment policy requires diversification of the investment portfolio to minimize risk of loss resulting from over-concentration in a particular type of security, risk factor, issuer or maturity. As of June 30, 2022, 59% of the funds' assets were held in equity mutual funds and 41% in fixed income mutual funds.

NOTE 3 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

E. Fair Value Hierarchy

The District categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure fair value of the assets. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in an active market for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; and Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

The following is a summary of the fair value hierarchy of the fair value of investments of the District as of June 30, 2022:

Governmental Activities:	Level 2	Total
Pooled cash with County Treasury	\$397,967	\$397,967
Investments Exempt from Fair Value Hierarchy:		
California Local Agency Investment Fund		4,986,679
CAMP		3,834,617
PARS (Moderately Conservative Highmark Plus)		1,628,403
Cash with VCJPA		351,141
Cash in bank		606,148
Total cash and investments	\$397,967	\$11,804,955

As of June 30, 2022, the District had \$397,967 of cash and investments pooled with the County that is classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of the pooled investment fund is provided by the County Treasurer and is valued using quoted prices for identical instruments in markets that are not active. Fair value is defined as the quoted market value on the last trading day of the period. These prices are obtained from various pricing sources.

F. Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The Trust's investment policy provides that the exposure to interest rate risk be mitigated by purchasing a diverse combination of shorter term and longer term investments. Information about the sensitivity of the fair value of investments, held by the trustee, to market interest rate fluctuations is provided in the table below:

	Investment Maturity (In Years)			Investment
	Fair Value	Less than One	One to Five	Rating
Pooled cash with County Treasury	\$397,967	\$147,805	\$250,162	AA
California Local Agency Investment Fund	4,986,679	4,986,679		Not rated
Cash with VCJPA	351,141	351,141		Not rated
Cash in Bank of America	157,965	157,965		Not rated
Cash in Bank of the West	448,183	448,183		Not rated
CAMP	3,834,617	3,834,617		Not rated
PARS (Moderately Conservative Highmark Plus)	1,628,403	1,628,403		Not rated
Total cash and investments	\$11,804,955	\$11,554,793	\$250,162	

NOTE 4 – CAPITAL ASSETS

An analysis of capital assets at June 30, 2022, is as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2021	Additions	Balance June 30, 2022	NBV June 30, 2022
Governmental activities				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$61,406		\$61,406	\$61,406
Total capital assets not being depreciated	61,406		61,406	\$61,406
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Structures and improvements	4,760,618		4,760,618	\$2,036,621
Machinery and equipment	1,769,859	\$36,910	1,806,769	97,387
Total capital assets being depreciated	6,530,477	36,910	6,567,387	\$2,134,008
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Structures and improvements	(2,604,632)	(119,365)	(2,723,997)	
Machinery and equipment	(1,594,225)	(115,157)	(1,709,382)	
Total accumulated depreciation	(4,198,857)	(234,522)	(4,433,379)	
Total depreciable assets	2,331,620	(\$197,612)	2,134,008	
Total capital assets - net of depreciation	\$2,393,026		\$2,195,414	

NOTE 5 – PROPERTY TAX LEVY, COLLECTIONS AND MAXIMUM RATES

The State of California (the State) Constitution Article XIIIA provides that the combined maximum property tax rate on any given property may not exceed 1% of its assessed value unless voters have approved an additional amount. Assessed value *is* calculated at 100% of market value as defined by Article XIIIA and may be increased by no more than 2% per year unless the property is sold or transferred. The State Legislature has determined the method of distribution of receipts from a 1% tax levy among counties, cities, school districts and other districts. Counties, cities, school districts and other districts may levy such additional tax as is necessary to provide for voter approved debt service.

The County of Alameda assesses properties, bills, and collects property taxes as follows:

	Secured	Unsecured
Valuation dates	March 1	March 1
Lien/levy dates	July 1	July 1
Due dates	50% on February 1 50% on February 1	Upon receipt of billing
Delinquent as of	December 10 (for November) April 10 (for February)	August 31

The term "unsecured" refers to taxes on property not secured by lines on real property.

NOTE 5 – PROPERTY TAX LEVY, COLLECTIONS AND MAXIMUM RATES (Continued)

Property taxes levied are recorded as revenue when received, in the fiscal year of levy, due to the adoption of the "alternate method" of property tax distribution, known as the Teeter Plan, by the District and the County. The Teeter Plan authorizes the auditor/controller of the County to allocate 100% of the secured property taxes billed, but not yet paid. The County remits tax monies to the District in three installments as follows:

50% remitted on December 15 40% remitted on April 15 10% remitted on June 30

NOTE 6 – SELF-INSURANCE AND JOINT POWERS AGENCY

The District participates with other districts in the Vector Control Joint Powers Agency ("VCJPA") The VCJPA was established under the provisions of California Government Code Section 6500 et seq.

The program covers the District's individual workers' compensation claims of \$500,000 for any one loss; excess insurance is obtained to cover individual claims at the statutory limit and \$5,000,000 for Employer's Liability. The District is self-insured for claims under \$500,000.

Annual premiums, based on the District's total payroll, are deposited in the District's separate Pooled Workers' Compensation Account (the Account) at the VCJPA. The Account is reduced by the District's share of all program claim settlements, excess insurance premiums and related administrative expenses. Three years after the end of each fiscal year, the VCJPA is to make a retroactive adjustment to refund/ collect any remaining balance/deficit in the District's account. While the District's ultimate share of workers' compensation claim settlements and related administrative expenses is uncertain, the District's management does not believe it will have a material impact on the District's basic financial statements.

The District also participates in a pool for general liability coverage. The program covers comprehensive, general, errors and omissions, and automobile liability. The program covers individual claims for the first \$1,000,000 and purchases excess insurance from \$1,000,000 to \$29,500,000.

Annual premiums are deposited in the District's separate Liability Account at the VCJPA. The account is reduced by the District's share of all program claim settlement, excess insurance premiums and related administrative expenses. Five years after the end of each fiscal year, the VCJPA will make a retroactive adjustment to refund/ collect any remaining balance/ deficit in the District's account. While the District's ultimate share of liability claim settlement and related administrative expenses is uncertain, the District's management does not believe it will have a material impact on the District's basic financial statements. The \$351,141 in deposit with the VCJPA as of June 30, 2022, represents additional monies, under the District's control, which the District may use for future self-insurance related expenditures or any other purpose.

Deposits at the VCJPA bear interest based on the average daily balance maintained by each district. These deposits are managed by Bickmore Risk Services.

NOTE 7 – CALPERS PENSION PLAN

A. General Information about the Pension Plan

Plan Description

All qualified permanent and probationary employees are eligible to participate in the District's separate Miscellaneous Employee Pension Plans, cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plans administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). Benefit provisions under the Plans are established by State statute and District resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plans regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website.

Benefits Provided

Benefits Provided - CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Basic Death Benefit, the 1957 Survivor Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

The Plan provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2022, are summarized as follows:

	Miscell	aneous
	Prior to	On or after
Hire date	January 1, 2013	January 1, 2013
Benefit formula	2% at 55	2% at 62
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years service	5 years service
Benefit payments	monthly for life	monthly for life
Retirement age	50-55	52-67
Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation	1.426% - 2.418%	1.000% - 2.50%
Required employee contribution rates	7.00%	7.25%
Required employer contribution rates	11.60%	7.73%
Required Unfunded Actuarial Liability Contribution	\$261,	079

Beginning in fiscal year 2016, CalPERS collects employer contributions for the Plan as a percentage of payroll for the normal cost portion as noted in the rates above and as a dollar amount for contributions toward the unfunded liability (UAL). The dollar amounts are billed on a monthly basis or can be paid in a lump sum at a reduced amount. The District elected to make the lump sum contributions and the required contribution for the unfunded liability was \$261,079 in fiscal year 2022, as shown in the table above.

NOTE 7 – CALPERS PENSION PLAN (Continued)

Contributions – Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual *basis* by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for the Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The District is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate of employees. For the year ended June 30, 2022 the District contributed \$470,541 to the Plan.

B. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Plan and additions to/deductions from the Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the CalPERS Financial Office. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when currently due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

As of June 30, 2022, the District reported a net pension liability of \$2,034,280 for its proportionate shares of the net pension liability of the Plan.

The District's net pension liability for the Plans is measured as the proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2021, and the total pension liability for each Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020 rolled forward to June 30, 2021 using standard update procedures. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plans relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each Plan as of June 30, 2020 and 2021 was as follows:

	Miscellaneous
Proportion - June 30, 2020	0.085%
Proportion - June 30, 2021	0.107%
Change - Increase (Decrease)	0.022%

NOTE 7 – CALPERS PENSION PLAN (Continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District recognized pension expense of \$278,187. At June 30, 2022, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date	\$470,541	
Differences between expected and actual experience	228,123	
Changes of assumptions		
Change in proportion and differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions	123,542	(\$165,576)
Net difference between projected and actual earnings		
on pension plan investments		(1,775,819)
Total	\$822,206	(\$1,941,395)

The \$470,541 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2023. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

Year Ended	Annual
June 30	Amortization
2023	(\$339,581)
2024	(357,097)
2025	(402,307)
2026	(490,745)
	(\$1,589,730)

NOTE 7 – CALPERS PENSION PLAN (Continued)

Actuarial Assumptions – For the measurement period ended June 30, 2021, the total pension liability was determined by rolling forward the June 30, 2020 total pension liability. The June 30, 2020 total pension liability was based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions for both benefit tiers.

	Miscellaneous
Valuation Date	June 30, 2020
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry-Age Normal Cost Method
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Discount Rate	7.15%
Inflation	2.50%
Payroll Growth	2.75%
Projected Salary Increases	Varies by Entry-Age and Service
Investment Rate of Return	7.15%(1)
Mortality Rate Table ¹	Derived using CalPERS Membership Data for all Funds
Post Retirement Benefit Increase	The lesser of contract COLA or 2.50% until Purchasing Power Protection Allowance Floor on Purchasing Power applies, 2.50% thereafter

(1) The mortality table used was developed based on CalPERS- specific data. The probabilities of mortality are based on the 2017 CalPERS Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2015. Pre-retirement and Post-retirement mortality rates include 15 years of projected mortality improvement using 90% of Scale MP-2016 published by the Society of Actuaries. For more details on this table, please refer to the CalPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Assumptions report from December 2017 that can be found on the CalPERS website.

All the other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2020 valuation were based on the results of a December 2017 actuarial experience study for the period 1997 to 2011, including updates to the salary increase, mortality and retirement rates. Further details of the Experience Study can be found on the CalPERS website under Forms and Publications.

Discount Rate – The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability for each Plan was 7.15%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate for each Plan assumed that contributions from all plan members in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF) will be made at the current member contribution rates and that contributions from employers will be made at statutorily required rates, actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, each Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members for all plans in the PERF. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability for each Plan.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

NOTE 7 – CALPERS PENSION PLAN (Continued)

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical and forecasted information for all the funds' asset classes, expected compound (geometric) returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11+ years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and adjusted to account for assumed administrative expenses.

The table below reflects the long-term expected real rates of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

Asset Class (a)	New Strategic Allocation	Real Return Years 1 - 10(b)	Real Return Years 11+(c)
Global Equity	50.0%	4.80%	5.98%
Global Fixed Income	28.0%	1.00%	2.62%
Inflation Assets	0.0%	0.77%	1.81%
Private Equity	8.0%	6.30%	7.23%
Real Estate	13.0%	3.75%	4.93%
Liquidity	1.0%	0.00%	-0.92%
Total	100%		

(a) In the CalPERS Annual Comprehensive Financial Report, Fixed Income is included in Global Det Securities; liquidity is included in Short-term Investments; inflation Assets are included in both Global Equity Securities and Global Debt Securities.

(b) An expected inflation of 2.0% used for this period.

(c) An expected inflation of 2.92% used for this period.

NOTE 7 – CALPERS PENSION PLAN (Continued)

Sensitivity of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension lability to Changes in the Discount Rate – The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each Plan, calculated using the discount rate for each Plan, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower or 1-percentage point higher than the current rate:

	Miscellaneous
1% Decrease	6.15%
Net Pension Liability	\$4,070,810
Current Discount Rate	7.15%
Net Pension Liability	\$2,034,280
1% Increase	8.15%
Net Pension Liability	\$350,710

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

Reduction of CalPERS Discount Rate

In July 2021, CalPERS reported a preliminary 21.3% net return on investments for the 12-month period that ended June 30, 2021. Under the Funding Risk Mitigation Policy, approved by the CalPERS Board of Administration in 2015, the 21.3% net return will trigger a reduction in the discount rate used to calculate employer and Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA) member contributions. The Funding Risk Mitigation Policy seeks to reduce CalPERS funding risk over time, in which CalPERS investment performance that significantly outperforms the discount rate will trigger adjustments to the discount rate, expected investment return, and strategic asset allocation targets. This is the first time it has been triggered. The discount rate, or assumed rate of return, will drop to 6.8%, from its current level of 7%.

Based on these preliminary fiscal year returns, the CalPERS has announced the funded status of the overall Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF) is an estimated 82%. This estimate is based on a 7% discount rate. Under the new 6.8% discount rate, however, CalPERS indicated the funded status of the overall PERF drops to 80%. This is because existing assets are assumed to grow at a slightly slower rate annually into the future. As intended under the Funding Risk Mitigation Policy, the lower discount rate increases the likelihood that CalPERS can reach its target over the longer term. The CalPERS Board of Administration will continue to review the discount rate through its Asset Liability Management process during the rest of the calendar year.

CalPERS' final fiscal year 2021 investment performance will be calculated based on audited figures and will be reflected in contribution levels for contracting cities, counties, and special districts in fiscal year 2024.

NOTE 8 – POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN RETIREMENT

A. General Information about the District's Other Post Employment Benefit (OPEB) Plan

Plan Description. As authorized by the District's Board of Trustees, the District administers a multiple employer defined benefit health care plan. The defined benefit post-employment healthcare plan provides medical benefits to eligible District employees who retire from the District at age 50 or older, are eligible for a CalPERS pension, and have been employed by the District for at least 10 years. The District joined the Public Agencies Post-Employment Benefits trust, a multiple employer trust administered by Public Agency Retirement Services (PARS) for the purpose of pre-funding other post-employment benefit obligations. This trust is not considered a component unit by the District and has been excluded from these financial statements. Separately issued financial statements for PARS may be obtained from PARS at 4350 Von Karman Ave., Suite 200, Newport Beach, CA 92660.

Benefits Provided. The District provides health care for employees and dependents (and also for retirees and their dependents) in accordance with the California Public Employees Medical and Hospital Care Act (PEMHCA), more commonly referred to as PERS Health. Employees may choose one of the following medical options: Kaiser HMO, PERSCare PPO, PERS Choice PPO, Anthem HMO Select, Anthem HMO Traditional, BSC Access +, HealthNet Smart Care, Kaiser Permanente, PERS Choice, PERS Select and PERSCare. The District has a cap on employer contributions set each year at 100% of the Kaiser one-party rate for the Bay Area/Sacramento region for individual coverage and 90% of the corresponding two-party rate for second and third tier coverages. Employees and retirees may choose a more expensive coverage but they must pay any premiums *in* excess of the cap. The District also pays for vision and dental for employees and retirees, *in* addition to the medical cap. There *is* a small group of retirees (pre-1980 retirees) who are reimbursed up to \$1,500 per year for dental coverage for the retiree and spouse (each). All others are covered by Delta Dental. The District also pays a 0.45% of premium administrative charge for all retirees.

Funding Policy. There is no statutory requirement for the District to prefund its OPEB obligation. The District has established a trust fund which *is* currently almost fully funded. The District currently pays for retiree healthcare benefits on a pay-as-you-go basis until the District trust is funded, which is *in* process. There are no employee contributions. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022, the District's plan paid approximately \$110,352 for retiree healthcare plan benefits.

Employees Covered by Benefit Terms. Membership in the plan consisted of the following at the measurement date of June 30, 2022:

Active employees		18
Inactive employees or beneficiaries		
currently receiving benefit payments		13
	Total	31

NOTE 8 – POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN RETIREMENT (Continued)

B. Net OPEB Asset

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions. – The District's net OPEB asset was measured as of June 30, 2022 and the total OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation dated July 1, 2021 that was rolled forward using standard update procedures to determine the total liability as of June 30, 2022, based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

	Actuarial Assumptions
Valuation Date	July 1, 2021
Measurement Date	June 30, 2022
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal Cost, level of pay
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Inflation rate	3.00%
Payroll Growth	3.00%
Investment Rate of Return	6.00%
Mortality Rate	Pre-retirement mortality rates were based from CalPERS Experience Study (2000-2019). Post-retirement mortality rates for healthy recipients from CalPERS Experience
	Study (2000-2019).
Medical Cost Trend Rate	5.75% for 2022; 5.50% for 2023; 5.40% for 2024-2069 and 4.50% for 2070 and later years.

The long-term expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of OPEB plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

	Real Rate
Asset Class	of Return
Broad U.S. Equity	4.40%
U.S. Fixed	1.50%

Discount Rate. The discount rate was based on the Municipal Bond 20-Year High Grade Rate Index.

NOTE 8 – POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN RETIREMENT (Continued)

C. Changes in Net OPEB Asset

The changes in the net OPEB asset follows:

Increase (Decrease)					
Total OPEB Liability (a)	Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net OPEB Liability/(Asset) (a) - (b)			
\$2,775,827	\$5,298,590	(\$2,522,763)			
114,831		114,831			
164,767		164,767			
416,529		416,529			
(204,737)		(204,737)			
125,597		125,597			
	132,720	(132,720)			
	(656,091)	656,091			
	(2,670)	2,670			
	(154,424)	154,424			
(132,720)	(132,720)				
484,267	(813,185)	1,297,452			
\$3,260,094	\$4,485,405	(\$1,225,311)			
	Liability (a) \$2,775,827 114,831 164,767 416,529 (204,737) 125,597 (132,720) 484,267	Total OPEB Liability Plan Fiduciary Net Position (a) (b) \$2,775,827 \$5,298,590 114,831 164,767 416,529 (204,737) 125,597 132,720 (656,091) (2,670) (132,720) (132,720) 484,267 (813,185)			

D. Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Asset to Changes in the Discount Rate and Healthcare Cost Trend Rates

The following presents the net OPEB asset of the District, as well as what the District's net OPEB asset would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (5.00%) or 1-percentage-point higher (7.00%) than the current discount rate:

Net OPEB Liability/(Asset)						
Discount Rate -1% Discount Rate Discount Rate +1%						
(5.00%)	(6.00%)	(7.00%)				
(\$814,183)	(\$1,225,311)	(\$1,565,916)				

The following presents the net OPEB asset of the District, as well as what the District's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are 1-percentage-point lower (5.00% decreasing to 4.00%) or 1-percentage-point higher (7.00% decreasing to 6.00%) than the current healthcare cost trend rates:

Net OPEB Liability/(Asset)						
Medical Cost						
1% Decrease	Trend Rates	1% Increase				
(4.00%)	(5.00%)	(6.00%)				
(\$1,575,833)	(\$1,225,311)	(\$796,122)				

NOTE 8 – POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN RETIREMENT (Continued)

E. OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District recognized OPEB expense of \$190,292. At June 30, 2022, the District reported deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows	Deferred Inflows
	of Resources	of Resources
Difference between expected and actual experience		(\$547,510)
Changes in assumptions or other inputs	\$101,444	(67,501)
Net differences between projected and actual earnings	on	
plan investments	776,186	(431,858)
Total	\$877,630	(\$1,046,869)

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized as part of OPEB expense as follows:

Year	Annual
Ended June 30	Amortization
2023	(\$183,922)
2024	(179,303)
2025	18,198
2026	178,828
2027	(3,040)
Total	(\$169,239)

NOTE 9 – DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

The District employees may defer a portion of their compensation under a District sponsored Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan) created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. Under this Plan, participants are not taxed on the deferred portion of the compensation until it is distributed to them; distributions may be made only at termination, retirement, death or in an emergency as defined by the Plan. A total of 17 employees deferred compensation during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022.

The laws governing the Plan assets require Plan assets to be held by a Trust for the exclusive benefits of Plan participants and their beneficiaries. Since the assets held under this Plan are not the District's property, are not managed by the District and are not subject to claims by general creditors of the District, they have been excluded from these financial statements.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Pension Plan Last 10 Years* SCHEDULE OF THE PLAN'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS AS OF THE MEASUREMENT DATE

Measurement Date	6/30/2014	6/30/2015	6/30/2016	6/30/2017	6/30/2018	6/30/2019	6/30/2020	6/30/2021
Plan's proportion of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	0.0309%	0.0780%	0.0760%	0.0783%	0.0023%	0.0038%	0.0034%	0.0221%
Plan's proportion share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	\$1,923,046	\$2,028,906	\$2,551,572	\$2,642,666	\$2,952,714	\$3,277,554	\$3,603,091	\$2,034,280
Plan's Covered Payroll	\$1,287,185	\$1,467,683	\$1,580,981	\$1,588,441	\$1,744,412	\$1,894,209	\$1,980,518	\$2,037,043
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered Payroll	149.40%	138.24%	161.39%	166.37%	169.27%	173.03%	181.93%	99.86%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Plan's Total Pension Liability	83.0287%	78.2766%	78.9294%	77.7053%	78.6376%	77.3860%	75.6603%	86.8115%

Change in assumption - In 2017, the accounting discount rate was decreased from 7.65% to 7.15%.

*- Fiscal year 2015 was the 1st year of implementation.

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT Cost-Sharing Multiple Employer Defined Pension Plan Last 10 Years* SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Fiscal Year Actuarially determined contribution	2015 \$152,526	2016 \$205,340	<u>2017</u> \$136,053	2018 \$317,084	2019 \$310,838	2020 \$378,833	<u>2021</u> \$423,092	<u>2022</u> \$470,541
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contributions Contribution deficiency (excess)	(152,526) \$0	(205,340) \$0	(136,053) \$0	(317,084) \$0	(310,838) \$0	(378,833) \$0	(423,092) \$0	(470,541) \$0
Covered payroll	\$1,287,185	\$1,467,683	\$1,580,981	\$1,744,412	\$1,894,209	\$1,980,518	\$2,037,043	\$2,121,872
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	11.85%	13.99%	8.61%	18.18%	16.41%	19.13%	20.77%	22.18%

*Fiscal year 2015 was the 1st year of implementation.

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET OPEB LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS Agent - Multiple Employer Defined Benefit Health Care Plan Last 10 fiscal years*

Measurement Date	6/30/18	6/30/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22
Total OPEB Liability					
Service Cost	\$150,088	\$154,591	\$104,418	\$107,551	\$114,831
Interest	200,500	207,352	157,133	162,087	164,767
Changes in benefit terms					416,529
Differences between expected and actual experie	nce		(928,071)		(204,737)
Changes of assumptions			(163,933)		125,597
Benefit payments	(241,589)	(231,032)	(191,079)	(172,893)	(132,720)
Net change in total OPEB liability	108,999	130,911	(1,021,532)	96,745	484,267
Total OPEB liability - beginning	3,460,704	3,569,703	3,700,614	2,679,082	2,775,827
Total OPEB liability - ending (a)	\$3,569,703	\$3,700,614	\$2,679,082	\$2,775,827	\$3,260,094
Plan fiduciary net position					
Contributions - employer	\$63,129	\$60,365	\$20,412	\$172,893	\$132,720
Contributions - employee					
Net investment income	299,763	284,866	285,286	962,104	(656,091)
Trustee fees		(2,851)	(2,934)		(2,670)
Administrative expense	(2,769)	(6,765)		(2,797)	
Other Disbursements - reimbursement to employ	ver			(163,355)	(154,424)
Benefit payments	(241,589)	(231,032)	(191,079)	(172,893)	(132,720)
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	118,534	104,583	111,685	795,952	(813,185)
Plan fiduciary net position - beginning	4,167,835	4,286,369	4,390,952	4,502,637	5,298,590
Plan fiduciary net position - ending (b)	\$4,286,369	\$4,390,952	\$4,502,637	\$5,298,589	\$4,485,405
Net OPEB liability/(asset) - ending (a)-(b)	(\$716,666)	(\$690,338)	(\$1,823,555)	(\$2,522,762)	(\$1,225,311)
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability/(asset)	120.08%	118.65%	168.07%	190.88%	137.59%
Covered-employee payroll	\$1,744,412	\$1,894,209	\$1,980,518	\$2,037,043	\$2,121,872
Net OPEB liability/(asset) as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	-41.08%	-36.44%	-92.07%	-123.84%	-57.75%

* Fiscal year 2018 was the first year of implementation.

ALAMEDA COUNTY MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS Agent - Multiple Employer Defined Benefit Health Care Plan Last 10 fiscal years*

Fiscal Year Ended June 30,	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Actuarially determined contribution Contributions in relation to the	\$113,347	\$117,354	\$18,076	\$18,618	\$0
actuarially determined contribution	113,347	117,354	18,076	18,618	0
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Covered-employee payroll	\$1,744,412	\$1,894,209	\$1,980,518	\$2,037,043	\$2,121,872
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	6.50%	6.20%	0.91%	0.91%	0.00%

	Actuarial Assumptions
Valuation Date	July 1, 2021
Measurement Date	June 30, 2022
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal Cost, Level of Pay
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Inflation Rate	3.00%
Payroll Growth	3.00%
Investment Rate of Return	6.00%
Mortality Rate	Pre-retirement mortality rates were based from CalPERS Experience Study (2000-2019). Post-retirement mortality rates for healthy recipients from CalPERS Experience Study (2000-2019).
Medical Cost Trend Rate	5.75% for 2022; 5.50% for 2023; 5.40% for 2024-2069 and 4.50% for 2070 and later years.

 \ast Fiscal year 2018 was the first year of implementation.

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

STATISTICAL SECTION

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

Statistical Section

This part of the Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report presents the detailed information as a context for understand what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information says about the District's overall financial health.

Contents

Financial Trends - These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the District's financial performance and well-being have changed over time.

Revenue Capacity - These schedules contain information to help the reader assess one of the District's most significant local revenue source, the property tax.

Debt Capacity - The District has no long-term debt issues.

Demographic and Economic Information - These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the District's financial activities take place.

Operating Information - These schedules contain service and infrastructure data to help the reader understand how the information in the District's ACFR relates to the services the District provides and activities it performs.

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Net Position by Component Last Ten Fiscal Years

		2022		2021		2020		2019		2018		2017		2016		2015		2014		2013
Governmental Activities Net Investment in Capital Assets	\$	2,195,414	÷	2,195,414 \$ 2,393,026	÷	2,609,548	÷	2,852,921	÷	2,748,806	÷	2,604,121	÷	2,560,129	÷	2,689,391	÷	2,667,980	÷	2,716,349
Unrestricted		9,369,893		8,697,501		7,132,452		6,507,741		4,929,985		5,317,714		5,244,509		2,769,101		3,519,647		3,263,459
Total Governmental Activities Net Position	φ	\$ 11,565,307	ь	\$ 11,090,527	ф	9,742,000	ф	9,360,662	ф	7,678,791	ф	7,921,835	¢	7,804,638	¢	5,458,492	ф	6,187,627	ф	5,979,808
Primary Government																				
Net Investment in Capital Assets	¢	2,195,414 \$	Ь	2,393,026	¢	2,609,548	Ф	2,852,921	Ф	2,748,806	Ф	2,604,121	Ф	2,560,129	Ф	2,689,391	Ф	2,667,980	Ф	2,716,349
Unrestricted		9,369,893		8,697,501		7,132,452		6,507,741		4,929,985		5,317,714		5,244,509		2,769,101		3,519,647		3,263,459
Total Primary Government Net Position	Ф	11,565,307	φ	\$ 11,090,527	ф	9,742,000	ф	9,360,662	ф	7,678,791	ф	7,921,835	¢	7,804,638	÷	5,458,492	ф	6,187,627	ф	5,979,808

Source: District Financial Statements

			Alan	neda C	Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Changes in Net Position Last Ten Fiscal Years	ito Abatemen et Position scal Years	t District							
	2022	2021	2020		2019	2018	2017	21	2016	7	2015	2014	2013	
Net Position, Beginning balance.	\$ 11,090,527	\$ 9,742,000	\$ 9,360,662	\$ \$	7,678,791	\$ 7,921,835	\$ 7,	7,804,638 \$	5,458,498	θ	6,187,627 \$	5,979,808	\$ 6,288,422	3,422
Position Restatement						(1,292,101)			1,510,343)	(2,473,538)			
Restated Net Position	11,090,527	9,742,000	9,360,662	52	7,678,791	6,629,734	7,	7,804,638	6,968,841		3,714,089	5,979,808	6,288,422	3,422
Expenses														
Mosquito Control	4,720,653	4,058,027	4,765,364	4	3,240,678	3,574,293	4	4,249,706	3,345,034		2,260,635	2,691,497	2,692,751	2,751
OPEB trust contribution		,			,	ı			ı			800,000	500	500,000
Retirement unfunded liability costs													825	825,406
General Revenues														
Property Taxes	2,759,272	2,624,188	2,502,132	2	2,325,861	2,054,129	2,1	2,029,078	1,892,482		1,732,006	1,597,083	1,521,578	,578
Redevelopment distributions	401,310	364,485	297,598	8	250,284	236,382		180,474	171,178		172,346	165,563	261	261,117
Special assessments	1,988,520	1,962,192	1,951,959	6	1,939,212	2,026,453	÷.	1,916,198	1,903,257		1,899,118	1,886,169	1,884,115	l,115
Governmental aid	15,846	15,854	16,055	55	16,138	16,220		15,954	15,662		15,714	15,924	15	15,948
Transfer from OPEB								170,219	149,986		133,188			
Miscellaneous	256,218	203,268	202,459	6	223,566	264,661		20,824	20,963		13,942	24,619	12	12,201
Interest income	(225,733)	236,567	176,499	6	167,488	25,505		34,156	27,303		38,724	9,958	14	14,582
Total General Revenue	5,195,433	5,406,554	5,146,702	5	4,922,549	4,623,350	4,	4,366,903	4,180,831		4,005,038	3,699,316	3,709,541),541
Total Revenue	5,195,433	5,406,554	5,146,702	2	4,922,549	4,623,350	4,	4,366,903	4,180,831		4,005,038	3,699,316	3,709,541),541
Total Expenses	4,720,653	4,058,027	4,765,364	4	3,240,678	3,574,293	4	4,249,706	3,345,034		2,260,635	3,491,497	4,018,157	3,157
Change in Net Position	474,780	1,348,527	381,338	œ	1,681,871	1,049,057		117,197	835,797		1,744,403	207,819	(308	(308,616)
Net position, Ending balance.	\$ 11,565,307	\$ 11,090,527	\$ 9,742,000	⇔	9,360,662	\$ 7,678,791	\$ 7,	7,921,835 \$	7,804,638	θ	5,458,498 \$	6,187,627	\$ 5,979,808	9,808
Source: District Financial Statements														

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Fund Balances of Governmental Funds Last Ten Fiscal Years

		2022		2021		2020		2019		2018	2017	Ñ	2016		2015	Ñ	2014	2013	
Assigned																			
Assigned for capital projects	θ	4,667,326	φ	4,205,178	ŝ	3,580,662	ь	3,196,718	ŝ	2,822,828	\$ 2,565,404	\$ 5	2,210,527	φ	500,000	\$	500,000	\$ 500,000	
Assigned for dry period cash		7,000,988		6,307,766		5,370,994		4,795,078		4,234,241	3,848,107	'n	315,791	e	3,039,735	с,	2,181,632	2,181,632	
Assigned for public health emergencies															361,485		361,485	361,485	
Assigned for insurance		'													476,530		476,530	220,342	
Unassigned		•				,		,		,	,				,		,	'	
Total Fund Balances	ω	11,668,314 \$ 10,51	φ	10,512,944	φ	8,951,656	φ	7,991,796	ŝ	7,057,069	\$ 6,413,511	\$ 2	5,526,318	\$ 4	,377,750	с, Ф	3,519,647	\$ 3,263,459	

Source: District Financial Statements

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds Last Ten Fiscal Years

				ב" בי	lariges in runu balances of Governmental runus Last Ten Fiscal Years	al Years								
	2022	2021	2020		2019	2018	2017		2016	.1	2015	2014		2013
Beginning Fund Balance	\$ 10,512,944	\$ 8,951,656	\$ 7,991,796	\$	7,057,069 \$	6,413,511	\$ 5,526,318	18	4,377,750	69	3,519,647	\$ 3,263,459	\$ 6	3,468,738
Revenues														
Property taxes	2,759,272	2,624,188	2,502,132		2,325,861	2,054,129	2,029,078	78	1,892,482	-	1,732,006	1,597,083	с С	1,521,578
Redevelopment distributions	401,310	364,485	297,598		250,284	236,382	180,474	74	171,178		172,346	165,563	e	261,117
Special assessments	1,988,520	1,962,192	1,951,959	_	1,939,212	2,026,453	1,916,198	98	1,903,257		1,899,118	1,886,169	6	1,884,115
Government aid	15,846	15,854	16,055		16,138	16,220	15,954	54	15,662		15,714	15,924	4	15,948
Transfer from OPEB trust			•				170,219	19	149,986		133,188	'		
Interest	(225,733)	236,567	176,499		167,488	25,505	34,156	56	27,303		13,942	9,958	8	14,582
Miscellaneous	256,218	203,268	202,459		223,566	264,661	20,824	24	20,963		38,724	24,619	6	12,201
Total Revenues	5,195,433	5,406,554	5,146,702		4,922,549	4,623,350	4,366,903	03	4,180,831	7	4,005,038	3,699,316	9	3,709,541
Expenditures														
Salaries and fringe benefits	3,107,470	2,990,918	2,854,468		2,683,156	2,480,037	2,369,690	06	2,133,833		2,086,888	1,950,547	7	1,915,545
Materials, supplies, and services	932,593	817,384	867,982		886,491	999,776	833,192	92	780,944		807,706	556,992	2	574,833
Capital outlay		36,964	464,392		418,175	499,979	276,828	28	117,486		252,341	135,589	0	99,037
Retirement unfunded liability costs		ı	'		ı	ı	'					'		825,406
OPEB trust contribution		ı	'		ı	,	'		,			800,000	0	500,000
Debt service							'				,			
Total Expenditures	4,040,063	3,845,266	4,186,842		3,987,822	3,979,792	3,479,710	<u>5</u>	3,032,263		3,146,935	3,443,128		3,914,821
Net change in Fund Balance	1,155,370	1,561,288	959,860		934,727	643,558	887,193	93	1,148,568		858,103	256,188	œ	(205,280)
Beginning Fund Balance	10,512,944	8,951,656	7,991,796		7,057,069	6,413,511	5,526,318	8	4,377,750		3,519,647	3,263,459	 	3,468,739
Ending Fund Balance	\$ 11,668,314	\$ 10,512,944	\$ 8,951,656	ь	7,991,796 \$	7,057,069	\$ 6,413,511	11 \$	5,526,318	\$	4,377,750	\$ 3,519,647	\$	3,263,459

Source: District Financial Statements

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Capital Assets Last Ten Fiscal Years

2022		2021		2020		2019		2018		2017		2016		2015		2014	I	2013
61,406	÷	61,406	θ	61,406	Ф	61,406	θ	61,406	θ	61,406	⇔	61,406	⇔	61,406	¢	61,406	⇔	\$ 61,406
- 4,760,618		- 4,760,618		- 4,760,618		329,927 4,430,691		- 4,529,023		- 4,379,435		- 4,128,158		- 4,057,720		- 3,929,444		- 3,922,905
1,806,769		1,769,859		1,751,859		1,733,785		1,553,075		1,278,766		1,254,255		1,210,447		1,103,859	I	997,083
6,567,387		6,530,477		6,512,477		6,164,476		6,082,098		5,658,201		5,382,413		5,268,167		5,033,303		4,919,988
(4,433,379	~	(4,433,379) (4,198,857)		(3,964,335))	(3,702,888))	(3,394,698)	-	(3,115,486)	-	(2,883,690)	-	(2,640,182)		(2,426,729)	-	(2,265,045
2,195,414	φ	2,393,026	ŝ	2,609,548	φ	\$ 2,852,921	φ	\$ 2,748,806	φ	\$ 2,604,121	ф	\$ 2,560,129	Ь	2,689,391	ф	\$ 2,667,980	ф	\$ 2,716,349

Source: District Financial Statements

Total Capital Assets Accumulated Depreciation

Total Capital Assets

Land Construction in Progress Structures and improvements Machinery and equipment Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Principal Employers Year 2022 and 2013

Employer	Nun Business Type	Number of Employees F 2022 ¹ Rank	Percentage of k County ²	Number of Employees P 2013 ¹ Rank	Percentage of < County ²
Kaiser Permanente Medical Group ³	Health Care	34,666 1	4.35 %	% 10,914 2	1.40 %
Tesla ³	Electric Vehicle Manufacturer	13,000 2	1.63	4,500 10	0.58
Safeway Inc. ³	Grocery	9,731 3	1.22	7,599 5	0.97
County of Alameda ⁴	Local Government	9,548 4	1.20	8,735 3	1.12
Sutter Health ³	Health Care	9,377 5	1.18	- 20+	
John Muir Health ³	Health Care	6,300 6	0.79	- 20+	
PG&E ³	Enery Production	5,100 7	0.64	- 20+	
Workday ³	Enterprise Cloud Applications	5,098 8	0.64	- 20+	
Chevron Corp. ³	Enery Production	4,700 9	0.59	- 20+	
Wells Fargo & Co. ³	Financial Services	4,354 10	0.55	20+	
Total		101,874	12.77 %	% 31,748	4.07 %

Source: Employment Development Department SFBT research for employment data Auditor- Controller, County of Alameda ¹ The number of employees, except for County of Alameda include Alameda County and Contra Costa County employees. Total employment within County of Alameda is unavailable.

² Percentage calculated based on Alameda County's Employment of 797,400 for June 2022 and 779,900 for June 2013 (Source: Employment Development Department)

³ Information from SFBT is the same as last year. Information as of June 30, 2022 is not available, except for County of Alameda employer.

⁴ Information from County of Alameda's database as of June 30, 2022.

Fiscal Year	Population		Personal Income	I	ersonal ncome r Capita) ²		Unemployment Rate ³
2013	1,548,681	\$	85,173,987	\$	53,798		7.4%
2014	1,573,254		90,631,392		56,261		5.8%
2015	1,599,888		-	1	-	1	4.6%
2016	1,627,865		-	1	-	1	4.7%
2017	1,638,215		101,370,460		61,879		4.0%
2018	1,663,190		118,554,685		71,282		3.3%
2019	1,666,753		127,746,433		76,644		3.1%
2020	1,671,329		135,663,560		81,171		12.2%
2021	1,682,353		164,437,681		99,746		6.6%
2022	1,682,353	4	-	1	-	1	3.0%

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Demographics and Economic Statistics Last Ten Fiscal Years

1 - Personal Income and Per Capita Income is not available for 2015-2016 and 2022.

2 - Dollar estimates are in current dollars (not adjusted for inflation); Per Capita Personal Income was computed using Census Bureau's midyear population estimates, which differ from the populatior column of this page.

- 3 Unemployment rates are as of June of each year.
- 4 Population data was still based from 2020 census date due to no current information.
- Source: Employment Development Department Labor Market State of California Department of Finance U.S Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis Auditor- Controller, County of Alameda

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Property Tax Levies and Collections Last Ten Fiscal Years

		Collected Fiscal)			Total Collecti	ons to Date	
Fiscal Year	 xes Levied for the scal Year ¹	Amount	Percen of Le	•	Sul	ections in osequent Years	Amount	Percentage of Levy	
2013	\$ 2,402,703	\$ 2,359,713		98.21 %	\$	40,083	\$ 2,399,796	99.9) %
2014	2,539,344	2,503,557		98.59		33,966	2,537,523	99.9)
2015	2,711,822	2,675,977		98.68		30,490	2,706,467	99.8	3
2016	2,880,728	2,840,578		98.61		37,626	2,878,204	99.9)
2017	3,082,262	3,040,805		98.65		40,087	3,080,892	100.0)
2018	3,350,221	3,313,841		98.91		35,902	3,349,743	100.0)
2019	3,618,407	3,581,759		98.99		38,341	3,620,100	100.0)
2020	3,896,168	3,847,811		98.76		48,029	3,895,840	100.0)
2021	4,118,683	4,069,198		98.80		37,969	4,107,167	99.7	,
2022	4,335,483	4,278,530		98.69			4,278,530	98.7	,

¹ Taxes levied for the fiscal year are based on the original charge and are not adjusted for any value changes that may reduce or increase taxes levied and impact percentage of levy collections, including collections to be greater than one hundred percent.

Source: Auditor- Controller, County of Alameda

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Full - Time District Employees Last Ten Fiscal Years

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
District Manager	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Financial & HR Specialist ¹	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
IT Director	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Regulatory & Public Affairs Director	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Public Outreach Coordinator	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Field Operations	8	8	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	9
Lab	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
Mechanic	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total	14	15	16	17	16	17	18	17	18	18

1 - Accounting position was reclassifyed during the 21/22 fiscal year.

Source: District Biennial Report

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Largest Secured Taxpayers Year 2022 and 2013

		June 30, 2022.), 2022.		June	June 30, 2013.	
Taxpayer	Sect	Secured Assessed Value	Rank	% of total	Secured Assessed Value	Rank	% of total
Pacific Gas & Electric Co.	Ф	2,936,422	~	0.88 %	1,590,102	~	0.84 %
Tesla Motors Inc		2,530,150	2	0.76			
Kaiser Foundation Hospitals		667,902	ę	0.20	1,179,499	2	0.62
Kaiser Foundation Health Plan Inc		480,253	4	0.14	356,480	5	0.19
BA2 300 Lakeside LLC		421,220	5	0.13			
BMR Gateway Boulevard LLC		370,443	9	0.11			
Russell City Energy Company, LLC		364,400	7	0.11	287,200	9	0.15
Sofxi WFO Center 21 Owner LLC		360,505	80	0.11			
BRE Properties Inc		359,544	6	0.11	281,133	6	0.15
CP VI Franklin LLC		346,135	10	0.10			
Bayer Healthcare LLC					274,499	10	0.15
Pacific Bell Telephone Company					414,382	ო	0.22
Apple Computer Inc					389,496	4	0.21
Leland Stanford Jr University Board Trustees					282,895	7	0.15
PSB Northern California Industrial Portfolio Inc					281,487	8	0.15
	φ	8,836,974		2.64 %	\$ 5,337,173		2.83 %

Source: Auditor - Controller, County of Alameda

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates Last Ten Fiscal Years

Fiscal	County	County Special	Local Special	Agency			1
Year	General	Districts	Districts	Districts	Schools	Cities	Total ¹
2013	1.0000	0.0048	0.0016	0.0159	0.1289	0.0560	1.2072
2014	1.0000	0.0054	0.0015	0.0240	0.1346	0.0529	1.2184
2015	1.0000	0.0054	0.0022	0.0183	0.1393	0.0546	1.2198
2016	1.0000	0.0074	0.0018	0.0177	0.1310	0.0469	1.2048
2017	1.0000	0.0071	0.0019	0.0198	0.1279	0.0513	1.2080
2018	1.0000	0.0077	0.0020	0.0244	0.1406	0.0526	1.2273
2019	1.0000	0.0071	0.0051	0.0251	0.1407	0.0501	1.2281
2020	1.0000	0.0067	0.0056	0.0293	0.1437	0.0504	1.2357
2021	1.0000	0.0066	0.0055	0.0259	0.1382	0.0537	1.2299
2022	1.0000	0.0067	0.0056	0.0152	0.1528	0.0554	1.2357

1 - The total reflects the approved Proposition 13 provisions limiting property tax lexy 1 of full cash value plus levit to pay for the indebtedness approved by voters. The rates shown under special districts, schools, and cities repret the levies for indebtedness.

Source: Auditor, Controller, County of Alameda

_t a	%									
Total Direct Tax Rate	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
otal Taxable Assessed Value	200,672,354 210,774.805	3,289,300),588,955	7,203,336	1,363,265	3,317,427	3,787,670	35,029,808	50,689,968	
Total 1 Asse Va	\$ 200 210	223	24(257	274	293	313	335	35(
Less: Tax- Exept Property	6,549,698 7.566.667	358,490	931,121	558, 188	106,096	161,638	017,076	365,306	755,543	
Less: Tax- Exep Property	\$ 6,5 7,5	8,8	7,5	8,5	9,1	10,1	11,0	11,0	11,7	
d, and sment	15,321,278 15,633,013	748,875	840,363	221,687	548,323	506,333	969,802	460,096	2,184,992	
Itility, Unsecured, and Escaped Assessment Property ¹	15, 15,0	15,	16,8	17,	17,	18,	19,	21,	22,	
Utility, Escar	ŝ									
Industrial Property	2,599,792 2.689.140	371,593	08,754	170,216	298,031	169,343	539,951	3,688,113	347,832	
Industria Property	\$ 5,5	5,8	3,0	ς, Υ	3,2	3,4	3,5	3,6	3,6	
\gricultural Property	1,412,563 1.456,520	501,740	573,372	756,511	394,968	376,129	972,429	,056,686	,087,024	
Agrici Prop	\$			 -	1,0	1,0	1,0	2,(2,(
Industrial Property	19,450,625 20.120.895	596,312	604,658	888,234	376,448	666,681	200,728	422,878	0,673,447	
Indus Prop	\$ 20,	20,	21,(23,	25,	27,(28,	29,	30'(
erical erty	27,958,514 29.348.915	75,074	84,933	06,144	76,697	33,521	90,023	13,567	95,349	:
Commerical Property	\$ 27,9 29,3	29,4	30,7	32,8	34,6	36,5	39,9	42,5	43,6	
tial Y	9,280 2.989	4,196	7,996	8,732	4,894	7,058	1,813	3,774	5,867	
Residential Property	<pre>\$ 140,479,280 149.092.989</pre>	161,95	174,70	186,91	200,67-	215,42	231,13	247,25	259,95	
Fiscal Year	2013 2014	15	16	17	118	19	120	121	122	
Fis Yé	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	

1 - The Utility, Unsecured, and escaped assessment rolls are not available by property type.


Source: Auditor - Controller, County of Alameda

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Performance Measures 2013- 2022

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Surveillance for Mosquitoes Number of New Jersey Light Traps Collected Number of CO2 Traps Collected Number of Oviposition Traps Collected	442 23 -	541 131 10	557 837 1,156	746 967 420	686 1,553 2,730	916 1,934 6,671	734 1,507 673	671 2,046 3,444	255 2,340 1,536	502 4538 585
Treatments ¹ Number of Sources Treated Number of Catchbasins Treated Surveillance for West Nile Virus			7,782 -	7,424 850	6,961 669	7,113 13,402	6,559 29,058	7,014 27,818	5,828 25,770	7,915 28,451
Number of Human Cases		~			~	ı	·			
Number of Mosquito Collections Tested Number of Mosquito Collections positive for WNV	60 -	213 16	388 16	165 2	1,748 -	1,451 15	1,353 -	813 -	389 -	951 -
Number of Birds Tested Number of Bird Cases	77 18	166 97	82 19	51 11	39 2	80 20	- 83	107 -	106 8	4 8 2
Number of Sentinel Chickens Maintained	21 641	21 1,196	- 3,055	- 2,362	- 6,759	- 11,087	- 4,350	7 7,088	8 4,642	8 6,634
Source: District Database										

1 - Some information prior to 2014 is not available. The District implemented a new database during the 16/17 fiscal year. The District added Catchbasins to the database during the 17/18 fiscal year.

Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District Service Requests 2013 through 2022



	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Service :										
Mosquito	212	366	393	298	407	400	566	434	356	229
Prevent	225	242	251	252	300	142	200	128	73	111
Mosquito Fish	515	522	472	367	548	442	524	541	491	463
Identification	4	5	9	150	68	7	12	9	5	6
Other	1	13	20	7	6	7	5	7	11	5
Mosq & Fish ¹	6	15	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	963	1,163	1,148	1,075	1,329	998	1,307	1,119	936	814

1 - As of 2017, the District no longer combines the "Mosq & Fish*".

Source: District's Database