



**C. G. UHLENBERG LLP**  
**CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS & CONSULTANTS**

PEGGY H. CHEN, C.P.A.    JEFFREY J. IRA, C.P.A.    KATHERINE CHAO, C.P.A.    JULIE T. LIN, C.P.A.

Monday, May 12, 2008

John R. Rusmiser, District Manager  
 Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District  
 23187 Connecticut St.  
 Hayward, CA 94545

**RECEIVED**

MAY 13 2008

ALAMEDA COUNTY  
 MOSQ. ABAT. DIST.

**Re: Final Ballot Tabulation Results**

The tabulation of all ballots has been completed.

I hereby certify the following totals for the ballots that were received by the close of the public hearing on April 30, 2008


Total Number of Valid Ballots Processed:	96,360
Total Assessment Amount of Valid Ballots:	\$ 595,157.62
Total Number of "Yes" Votes Processed:	71,949
Total Assessment Amount of "Yes" Votes Processed:	\$ 417,766.96
Total Percentage of "Yes" Assessment Amount:	70.19%
Total Number of "No" Votes Processed:	24,411
Total Assessment Amount of "No" Votes Processed:	\$ 177,390.66
Total Percentage of "No" Assessment Amount:	29.81%
Total Number of "Invalid" Ballots Processed:	1534
Total Assessment Amount "Invalid" Ballots Processed:	\$ 9557.98

The total number of ballots received by the end of the public input portion of the public hearing on April 30, 2008 was 97,894. This represents a 27.84% ballot return rate on the 351,677 ballots mailed.

Thank you for the opportunity to assist you with this project.

Sincerely,

C. G. Uhlenberg LLP

By   
 Jeffrey J. Ira

**Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District  
Mosquito and Disease Control Assessment  
Vote Breakdown By City**

<b>San Leandro</b>			Actual%*
Invalid	133	1.78%	
No	2,143	28.64%	29.16%
Yes	5,207	69.58%	70.84%
Total	<u>7,483</u>		<u>7,350</u>

<b>Alameda</b>			Actual%*
Invalid	104	1.52%	
No	1,874	27.41%	27.83%
Yes	4,859	71.07%	72.17%
Total	<u>6,837</u>		<u>6,733</u>

<b>Newark</b>			
Invalid	38	1.27%	
No	699	23.28%	23.58%
Yes	2,266	75.46%	76.42%
Total	<u>3,003</u>		<u>2,965</u>

<b>Fremont</b>			
Invalid	203	1.38%	
No	3,382	23.01%	23.34%
Yes	11,110	75.60%	76.66%
Total	<u>14,695</u>		<u>14,492</u>

<b>Berkeley</b>			
Invalid	185	1.91%	
No	1,984	20.50%	20.90%
Yes	7,508	77.59%	79.10%
Total	<u>9,677</u>		<u>9,492</u>

<b>Hayward</b>			
Invalid	189	1.88%	
No	2,929	29.15%	29.71%
Yes	6,930	68.97%	70.29%
Total	<u>10,048</u>		<u>9,859</u>

<b>Union City</b>			
Invalid	65	1.46%	
No	1,281	28.80%	29.23%
Yes	3,102	69.74%	70.77%
Total	<u>4,448</u>		<u>4,383</u>

<b>Dublin</b>			
Invalid	55	1.35%	
No	790	19.34%	19.60%
Yes	3,240	79.31%	80.40%
Total	<u>4,085</u>		<u>4,030</u>

<b>Livermore</b>			
Invalid	124	1.41%	
No	2,012	22.86%	23.19%
Yes	6,665	75.73%	76.81%
Total	<u>8,801</u>		<u>8,677</u>

<b>Emeryville</b>			
Invalid	15	1.41%	
No	283	26.60%	26.98%
Yes	766	71.99%	73.02%
Total	<u>1,064</u>		<u>1,049</u>

<b>Oakland</b>			
Invalid	488	1.68%	
No	10,763	36.96%	37.59%
Yes	17,872	61.37%	62.41%
Total	<u>29,123</u>		<u>28,635</u>

<b>Castro Valley</b>			
Invalid	110	1.80%	
No	1,567	25.63%	26.09%
Yes	4,438	72.58%	73.91%
Total	<u>6,115</u>		<u>6,005</u>

<b>Piedmont</b>			
Invalid	23	1.42%	
No	226	13.91%	14.11%
Yes	1,376	84.68%	85.89%
Total	<u>1,625</u>		<u>1,602</u>

<b>San Lorenzo</b>			
Invalid	59	2.47%	
No	624	26.11%	26.77%
Yes	1,707	71.42%	73.23%
Total	<u>2,390</u>		<u>2,331</u>

<b>Pleasanton</b>			
Invalid	104	1.37%	
No	1,684	22.13%	22.43%
Yes	5,823	76.51%	77.57%
Total	<u>7,611</u>		<u>7,507</u>

\* Only valid votes are counted, so the numbers under Actual % are the official results.